

1 PHILLIP A. TALBERT  
2 Acting United States Attorney  
3 KEVIN C. KHASIGIAN  
4 Assistant United States Attorney  
5 501 I Street, Suite 10-100  
Sacramento, CA 95814  
Telephone: (916) 554-2700

5 Attorneys for the United States

6

7

8 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

9 EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

10

11 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

12 Plaintiff,

13 v.

14 APPROXIMATELY \$37,000.00 IN  
U.S. CURRENCY,

15 Defendant.

2:20-MC-00281-KJM-KJN

STIPULATION AND ORDER EXTENDING TIME  
FOR FILING A COMPLAINT FOR FORFEITURE  
AND/OR TO OBTAIN AN INDICTMENT  
ALLEGING FORFEITURE

16

17 The United States and potential claimant Hong Tran (“claimant”), by and through his counsel,  
18 submit the following Stipulation and Order pursuant to the Court’s prior order in this case. The seizure  
19 forming the basis for this matter took place slightly more than one year ago and the parties acknowledge  
20 the previous extensions given the COVID-19 pandemic. In recent weeks, the parties have engaged in  
21 productive settlement negotiations concerning the seizures and expect to resolve this matter in the very  
22 near future. Accordingly, the parties seek only a brief thirty-day extension and believe that this will be the  
23 final request.

24 **Good Cause**

25 The seizure in this case stems from a postal interdiction operation at the West Sacramento Postal  
26 Distribution Center in West Sacramento, California which resulted in the seizure of currency from the  
27 potential claimant. The potential claimant was the intended recipient of a priority express parcel mailed  
28 from Panama City, Florida to Galt, California. While the parcel was at the Distribution Center on June 24,

1 2020, it was presented to a dog trained to detect the odor of narcotics on packages. The drug dog positively  
2 alerted to the presence of the odor of narcotics on the parcel sent from Panama City, Florida to Galt,  
3 California. After obtaining consent from the recipient, an individual living outside the state of California,  
4 law enforcement opened the parcel and discovered cash totaling \$37,000.00—the defendant currency.

5 Once the claim was referred to the USAO in Sacramento, the matter was assigned to an investigative  
6 team who initiated research into the underlying facts and the individuals involved, most of whom lived in  
7 Florida. This investigative team has continued to work with lawyers at the USAO’s office and others, such  
8 as postal agents, tasked with investigating similar matters. Accordingly, the United States has committed  
9 substantial resources and time reviewing the claim, investigative reports, and other records in this case,  
10 including criminal histories and employment reports. Further, discussions to resolve evidentiary inquiries  
11 and settlement movement require coordination and layers of conversation.

12 Lastly, the seizure in this case took place during the COVID-19 pandemic on June 24, 2020 and  
13 was referred to the U.S. Attorney’s Office on September 22, 2020. Offices have been closed and all  
14 discussions, negotiations, and approval conferences have occurred remotely. Thus, the COVID-19  
15 pandemic has had a significant impact on the timing of this case and progressing the settlement negotiations  
16 in this matter.

17 **Stipulations**

18 1. On or about September 2, 2020, claimant filed a claim in the administrative forfeiture  
19 proceeding with the United States Postal Inspection Service (“USPIS”) with respect to the Approximately  
20 \$37,000.00 in U.S. Currency (hereafter “defendant currency”), which was seized on June 24, 2020.

21 2. The USPIS has sent the written notice of intent to forfeit required by 18 U.S.C. §  
22 983(a)(1)(A) to all known interested parties. The time has expired for any person to file a claim to the  
23 defendant currency under 18 U.S.C. § 983(a)(2)(A)-(E), and no person other than claimant has filed a claim  
24 to the defendant currency as required by law in the administrative forfeiture proceeding.

25 3. Under 18 U.S.C. § 983(a)(3)(A), the United States is required to file a complaint for  
26 forfeiture against the defendant currency and/or to obtain an indictment alleging that the defendant currency  
27 is subject to forfeiture within ninety days after a claim has been filed in the administrative forfeiture  
28 proceeding, unless the court extends the deadline for good cause shown or by agreement of the parties.

1 That deadline was December 1, 2020.

2       4. By Stipulation and Order filed November 20, 2020, the parties stipulated to extend to  
3 February 1, 2021, the time in which the United States is required to file a civil complaint for forfeiture  
4 against the defendant currency and/or to obtain an indictment alleging that the defendant currency is subject  
5 to forfeiture.

6       5. By Stipulation and Order filed January 27, 2021, the parties stipulated to extend to March  
7 3, 2021, the time in which the United States is required to file a civil complaint for forfeiture against the  
8 defendant currency and/or to obtain an indictment alleging that the defendant currency is subject to  
9 forfeiture.

10      6. By Stipulation and Order filed March 2, 2021, the parties stipulated to extend to May 3,  
11 2021, the time in which the United States is required to file a civil complaint for forfeiture against the  
12 defendant currency and/or to obtain an indictment alleging that the defendant currency is subject to  
13 forfeiture.

14      7. By Stipulation and Order filed April 29, 2021, the parties stipulated to extend to July 2,  
15 2021, the time in which the United States is required to file a civil complaint for forfeiture against the  
16 defendant currency and/or to obtain an indictment alleging that the defendant currency is subject to  
17 forfeiture.

18      8. By Stipulation and Order filed June 30, 2021, the parties stipulated to extend to August 2,  
19 2021, the time in which the United States is required to file a civil complaint for forfeiture against the  
20 defendant currency and/or to obtain an indictment alleging that the defendant currency is subject to  
21 forfeiture.

22      9. By Stipulation and Order filed July 30, 2021, the parties stipulated to extend to September  
23 1, 2021, the time in which the United States is required to file a civil complaint for forfeiture against the  
24 defendant currency and/or to obtain an indictment alleging that the defendant currency is subject to  
25 forfeiture.

26      10. By Stipulation and Order filed October 1, 2021, the parties stipulated to extend to November  
27 1, 2021, the time in which the United States is required to file a civil complaint for forfeiture against the  
28

1 defendant currency and/or to obtain an indictment alleging that the defendant currency is subject to  
2 forfeiture.

3       11. As provided in 18 U.S.C. § 983(a)(3)(A), the parties wish by agreement to extend to  
4 December 1, 2021, the time in which the United States is required to file a civil complaint for forfeiture  
5 against the defendant currency and/or to obtain an indictment alleging that the defendant currency is subject  
6 to forfeiture.

7       12. Accordingly, the parties agree that the deadline by which the United States shall be required  
8 to file a complaint for forfeiture against the defendant currency and/or to obtain an indictment alleging that  
9 the defendant currency is subject to forfeiture shall be extended to December 1, 2021.

10 Dated: 11/1/21

PHILLIP A. TALBERT  
Acting United States Attorney

12 By: /s/ Kevin C. Khasigian  
13 KEVIN C. KHASIGIAN  
Assistant United States Attorney

14 Dated: 11/1/21

15 /s/ Geoffrey G. Nathan  
16 GEOFFREY G. NATHAN  
17 Attorney for potential claimant  
Hong Tran  
(Signature authorized by email)

19 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

20 Dated: November 2, 2021.

21   
CHIEF UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE